C

Govt. II-37—45,000—26-12-33

Development (1933) Department.

Ms SERIES.

G.O. No. 232

DATED 24.2.1933.

Govt 3/4
9.1.33

[Despatch Abstract.]

Fisheries — Fish Curing yard

Barkambady — relaxation

sanctioned.

Rumpalwa

Des. 367-II
2-2-33

C.F. 50.

DEPARTMENT.

ASSISTANT SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT
2-FEB 1933
DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT

Received ..... 19 *Registered* 19

Subject.

Fish curing yards - Baikambady - temporary retention - proposals.

ENCLOSURES.
No. .....
.....
Spare copies .....

Ref. No. 168-F/33-1.

Station Madras. *Dated the* 1st February 1933.

From (Name) Dr. B. Sundara Raj, M.A., Ph.D.,
(Designation) Director of Fisheries,

To The Secretary to the Government of Madras,
Development Department.

Sir,

Ref:G.O.No. 159 Development dated 3rd February 1932.

In G.O.No.159 Development dated 3rd February 1932 Government sanctioned the retention of the Baikambadi yard for a period of one year from 1st April 1932 subject to the condition that the curers agree to pay the loss incurred in working it. A security of Rs.250/- was deposited by the curers of the yard in the Mangalore treasury as per Treasury Receipt No.180 dated 30th March 1932.

2. The following statement shows the consumption

of salt, receipts and expenditure of the yard during the period 1st April 1932 to 30th December 1932:--

Consumption of salt.	...	618 Mds. 36 srs.
(the consumption for the whole year 1931-32.		1085 Mds. 28 srs.)
Receipts on account of sale of salt.	...	Rs. 773-10--0
Expenditure excluding cost price and transport charges of salt.	...	Rs. 435--8--0
Cost price and transport charges of salt at 10 as. 5 ps. per maund.	...	Rs. 402-14-10
Total Expenditure.	...	Rs. 838--6-10.
L o s s. ...	...	Rs. 64-12-10

3. The sanction for the retention of the yard will expire on 31st March 1933. It is reported that the yard is showing satisfactory progress in spite of the poor fishing season and that the curers are enthusiastic in working the yard. I have therefore, the honour to recommend that the sanction for the retention of the yard may be extended for a further period of two years from 1st April 1933.

159 (T)
3.2.33

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

B. [illegible] Rao

Director of Fisheries.

ls.

1.2.33

B

Dev

Fish curing Yard Baikambady temporary retention

pp 1-2 cf

From the D F Ref. No. 168 F/33-19
1-2-33

To Asst Secy

The fishcuring Yard at Baikambady was originally opened as a private yard on 15-9-29. It was subsequently taken over by Govt for 2 years from 1-4-30. The yard worked at a loss of Rs. 140-14-1 during 1929-30 and Rs 266-5-6 during 1930-31. In November 1931 when proposals for the temporary retention of the Yard came up to Govt the Fin. Dept. suggested that pending a decision on the Retrenchment Committee's recommendation in regarding the adjustment of the cure price of salt in Mysore we are to take over the Yard the retention should be subject to two conditions viz.,

1. that the curers agree to make good the loss to Govt in running the yard and

that they deposit in advance such amount as may be fixed by the DF

Orders were accordingly issued in Gio.

738/19-4-29 (J)

685/1-4-30 (H)

p 2 of 80 159/3-2-32 (T)

pp 1-2 of 80 Ulw

paras 2-3 on p 14 of 80 Ulw

4 2

No 159 Dev dy 3-2-32 Shipukhang (T)
these have conditions The Director
now reports that the cures paid
the necessary deposit of Rs 250 para 1 p1 CF
on 30 3 32 and adds that they
have worked the yard enthusiastically para 3 p2 CF
that, in spite of the poor fishing
the yard has shown satisfactory
progress, that the loss incurred
here only Rs 64-12-10 and that para 2 p2 CF
the yard should in these circumstances
be retained for a further period
of 2 years from 1-4-33 Sdb and para 3 p2 CF
that in view of the fact that
the Govt did not accept the 'A' on p13 of (R)
recommendations of the Retrenchment
Committee regarding the
adjustment of the issue price of
salt so as to balance revenue
and expenditure in the working
of fish curing yards and as
the DF recommends the
retention of the yard on the
ground of satisfactory progress para 3 p2 CF
~~in the working of the yard~~ it
is submitted for orders whether
the retention for a further period
of 2 years will be sanctioned

1

The D.F. does not say whether the
~~without any~~ conditions imposed
~~was done~~ in G.O.N.O 169 Dev
dt 3.2.32 should be continued. The
imposition of the conditions ~~is~~ is likely
7.2.33 to induce the owners to work beyond
at a profit ~~or~~ or at a minimum loss. These
may therefore be continued. Subject to approval,
a draft order is submitted and it may
issue with the concurrence of Finance.

8.2.33 8/II/33

9/2

367 II/33.1

Fin

The draft ~~not~~ below may be accepted.

9 FEB 1933
FINANCE DEPT.
9.2.33

11.II.33

4182 Ct

Dev.

Fin no 4182 Ct-1
11.2.33.

Dev.

may issue?

13.2.33

14/2

ASSISTANT SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT
13 FEB 1933
DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT

Dev. 474-II
13-2-33

14/2/33 Cited. 15/2

14/2

Scott. II-5 (a)

13/24/2

6

Dev DEPARTMENT.

	Date.	Initials
When received for Fair-copying		
When copied		
When examined		
When signed		
When despatched		

Fishers - Fishcuring Yard Baikamba retention - Sanctioned

738 19 4 — 29 (J)

READ AGAIN G.O. No. 685, dated 1-4-30 19— (M)

" " " " 159. " 8-2-32 19— (I)

READ ALSO :—

C No. 3675/33 from the DF, No 168 F/33-1 dated 1-2-1933

" " " " " " " " 19

" " " " " " " " 19

ORDER.

No 232 dated 24-2-1933

MS

The Govt sanction the retention with the existing staff of the fishcuring yard at Baikamba for a further period of two years from 1-4-33 subject to the conditions that the curers make good the loss to Govt in running the yard and deposit in advance such amount as may be fixed by the DF

DF 28/2/2

The DF ✓

AG through Dy ✓ 8/2/33 bm 8.2.33 RR 8/2/33 SM 9/2